

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	D. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/815,586		03/23/2001	Vladimir Levantovsky	OAQ-019	5569
959	7590	05/02/2005		EXAMINER	
	& COCKI	FIELD, LLP.	LAMB, TWYLER MARIE		
	BOSTON, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2622	

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant/ol				
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/815,586	LEVANTOVSKY, VLADIMIR				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Twyler M. Lamb	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (I) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	November 2004.	-				
	his action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
						Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-945) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Amendment, filed 11/24/04, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-26 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Dhong et al. (Dhong) (US 5,959,656).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dhong et al. (Dhong) (US 5,959,656).

With regard to claims 1 and 14, Dhong discloses a printing system (Figure 8, laser printer), comprising: a print engine (printer engine {laser beam printer motor} 860) for printing at a native resolution (col 7, lines 12-16; col 10, lines 43-46); a bitmap for at least a portion of an image at a higher resolution (col 7, lines 16-20; col 10, lines 43-46), wherein said higher resolution is higher than the native resolution (which reads on the resolution being enhanced by the resolution enhancement circuit of the laser printer) (col 7, lines 8-20; col 10, lines 43-46); and a processing unit (resolution enhancement

Application/Control Number: 09/815,586

Art Unit: 2622

circuit 820) for processing the bitmap using a window structure to generate a modulated control signal for controlling the print engine to produce a printed output that simulates the higher resolution (col 7, line 20 – col 8, line 9).

With regard to claims 2 and 15, Dhong discloses wherein the higher resolution is at least twice the native resolution of the printing system (col 10, lines 38-46).

With regard to claims 3 and 16, Dhong discloses wherein the printing system is a laser printer (Figure 8, laser printer; col 7, lines 8-11).

With regard to claims 4 and 17, Dhong discloses wherein the printing system modulates a laser beam to print the bitmap at the higher resolution (col 7, line 8 – col 8, line 9).

With regard to claims 5 and 18, Dhong discloses wherein the window structure is comprised of a plurality of elements assigned in a matrix (col 7, line 39 - col 8, line 9).

With regard to claims 6 and 19, Dhong discloses wherein the window structure is a 3 x 4 matrix arrangement (the MxM matrix can be any combination matrix M being an integer) (col 23, lines 30-43).

With regard to claims 7 and 20, Dhong discloses wherein each of the elements in the matrix is assigned a weighted value (col 8, lines 10-51).

With regard to claim 8, Dhong discloses wherein the matrix exhibits a row relationship such that a sum of a summation of weighted values in a second row of the matrix and a summation of weighted values in a third row of the matrix are approximately equal to two times a sum of a summation of weighted values in a first row

Application/Control Number: 09/815,586

Art Unit: 2622

of the matrix with a summation of weighted values in a fourth row of the matrix (col 10, line 38 –col 11, line 9).

With regard to claim 9, Dhong discloses wherein the matrix exhibits a row symmetrical relationship such that the summation of the weighted values of the second row is approximately equal to the summation of the weighted values of the third row of the matrix and the summation of the weighted values of the first row is approximately equal to the summation of the weighted values of the fourth row of the matrix (col 8, line 29 – col 23, line 43).

With regard to claim 10, Dhong discloses wherein the matrix exhibits a coefficient symmetrical relationship such that a summation of weighted values in a second column if the matrix is approximately equal to a sum of a summation of weighted values in a first column of the matrix with a summation of weighted values in a third column of the matrix (col 8, line 29 – col 23, line 43).

With regard to claim 11, Dhong discloses wherein the matrix exhibits a coefficient relationship such that the summation of weighted values of the first column of the matrix is approximately equal to the summation of weighted values of the third column (col 8, line 29 – col 23, line 43).

With regard to claim 12, Dhong discloses wherein the matrix exhibits a diagonal relationship where diagonal elements in the matrix are constrained to their respective row and coefficient relationships (col 8, line 29 – col 23, line 43).

With regard to claim 13, Dhong discloses wherein the matrix exhibits a relationship wherein any arrangement in the window should not generate weighted

Art Unit: 2622

values equal to the row, coefficients, and diagonal relationships (col 8, line 29 – col 23, line 43).

With regard to claim 21, Dhong discloses wherein the matrix exhibits a row relationship such that a sum of a summation of weighted values in a first row of the matrix and a summation of weighted values in a fourth row of the matrix are approximately equal to two times a sum of a summation of weighted values in a second row of the matrix with a summation of weighted values in a third row of the matrix (col 8, line 29 – col 23, line 43).

With regard to claim 22, Dhong discloses wherein the matrix exhibits a row symmetrical relationship such that the summation of the weighted values of the second row is approximately equal to the summation of the weighted values of the third row of the matrix and the summation of the weighted values of the first row is approximately equal to the summation of the weighted values of the fourth row of the matrix (col 8, line 29 – col 23, line 43).

With regard to claim 23, Dhong wherein the matrix exhibits a coefficient relationship such that a summation of weighted values in a second column if the matrix is approximately equal to a sum of a summation of weighted values in a first column of the matrix with a summation of weighted values in a third column of the matrix (col 8, line 29 – col 23, line 43).

With regard to claim 24, XXX discloses wherein the matrix exhibits a coefficient symmetrical relationship such that the summation of weighted values of the first column

of the matrix is approximately equal to the summation of weighted values of the third column.

With regard to claim 25, Dhong discloses matrix exhibits a diagonal relationship where diagonal elements in the matrix are constrained to their respective row and coefficient relationships (col 8, line 29 – col 23, line 43).

With regard to claim 26, Dhong discloses wherein the matrix exhibits a relationship wherein any arrangement in the window should not generate weighted values equal to the row, coefficients, and diagonal relationships (col 8, line 29 – col 23, line 43).

3. Claims 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith et al. (Smith) (US 5,657,430).

With regard to claim 27, Smith discloses a printing system (Figure 1, laser printer 10), comprising: an input image data having a first resolution (col 4, lines 4-8); a processing unit (CPU 14) for processing the input image data using a weighted window structure to produce an output image data having a second resolution (col 4, lines 4-23), wherein the first resolution is higher than the second resolution (col 4, lines 4-8; col 4, lines 57-65); a modulator for modulating a control signal for controlling a print engine to produce a printed output based on the output image data (col 5, lines 16-32; col 5 lines 43-51).

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 7

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Twyler M. Lamb whose telephone number is 571-272-7406. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thurs 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on 571-272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/815,586

Art Unit: 2622

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Twyler'M. Lamb Primary Examiner Art Unit 2622